

# Limited Submission Review Instructions & Scoring Matrix NSF Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (S-STEM) 2019

Principal Investigator(s):

## BACKGROUND & INSTRUCTIONS

A “limited submission” refers to a grant program that places a limitation on the number of proposal applications a single eligible entity can submit each cycle. The University of Texas at San Antonio (UTSA) has a process in place to allow for an internal competition among interested PIs to determine which application(s) will move forward. Once a limited submission opportunity is identified, an internal call for pre-proposals is sent out to potential PIs. Those interested in being considered for full submission are required to submit a pre-proposal by a specified date. If more applications are received than the institution is allowed to submit to the sponsor, the applications are moved forward to a peer review process in order to make final selection(s).

That peer review process is what you are taking part in now. While we do want you to be aware that the proposals you review here are *not* finalized and will be expanded before they are submitted to the sponsor, we ask that you be as critical in your review as you would be if these applications were moving forward to a sponsor now. We are especially interested in your feedback on weaknesses of the applications and where improvements can be made either before they move forward through submission to this program or others.

If you are reviewing more than one application for this same program, we ask that you use the applications as a reference for one another in your scoring, knowing that the pool will be ranked based on scores received to determine which move(s) forward to the sponsor.

# Limited Submission Review Instructions & Scoring Matrix NSF Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (S-STEM) 2019

## SCORING

Selection of applications to be submitted to the **NSF Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (S-STEM) Program** will be based on a 5-point scoring scale for criteria given below. Scores for each criteria will then be weighted based on program specifications.

No. of applications allowed per institution this cycle: **3 + Track 3**

**One (1) proposal from Sciences, (1) from Engineering and (1) from Business, + Track 3**

- Ratings should be given in whole numbers (not decimals).
- Reviewers should consider not only the relative number of strengths and weaknesses, but also the importance of these strengths and weaknesses to the criteria or to the overall impact when determining a score.
  - For example, a major strength may outweigh many minor and correctable weaknesses

**Minor weakness:** easily addressable weakness, does not substantially lessen impact

**Moderate weakness:** lessens impact

**Major weakness:** Severely limits impact

## SCORING RUBRIC

Score	Description
1	<b>Poor</b> – No evidence or information provided
2	<b>Fair</b> – Minimal evidence; limited potential; vague; weak concepts; limited likelihood of success; limited in innovative thinking; lacks sufficient information
3	<b>Good</b> – Some evidence; partially developed concepts; some potential for effectiveness and success; some inconsistencies; needs work; some innovation present; requires additional information/clarification
4	<b>Very Good</b> – Convincing concepts with enough examples of evidence to indicate a good chance for success; clear and complete; innovative
5	<b>Excellent</b> – Excellent concepts; exceptional evidence; well-thought out with an extremely high likelihood of success; exemplary; highly innovative

Borrowed from State of Ohio's Straight A Fund Application Scoring & Evaluation Process, Criteria & Rubrics.

## SCORED REVIEW CRITERIA

**UTSA.**

Knowledge Enterprise

# Limited Submission Review Instructions & Scoring Matrix

## NSF Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (S-STEM) 2019

Reviewers should consider each of the review criteria below and give a separate score for each.

*Below, please summarize the factors that informed your individual criteria scores:*

### 1. Potential for Advancing Knowledge

What is the potential for the proposed activity to advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields (Intellectual Merit)? All NSF projects should be of the highest quality and have the potential to advance, if not transform, the frontiers of knowledge. Proposals should include a description of the proposed research project, including preliminary supporting data where appropriate, specific objectives, methods and procedures to be used, and expected significance of the results.

**Strengths:** Click here to enter text.

**Weaknesses:** Click here to enter text.

### 2. Potential for Advancing Societal Outcomes

What is the potential for the proposed activity to benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes (Broader Impacts)? Broader impacts may be accomplished through the research itself, through activities that are directly related to specific research projects, or through activities that are supported by, but are complementary to, the project. The project activities may be based on previously established and/or innovative methods and approaches, but in either case must be well justified.

**Strengths:** Click here to enter text.

**Weaknesses:** Click here to enter text.

### 3. Incorporation of Transformative Concepts

To what extent do the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts?

**Strengths:** Click here to enter text.

Limited Submission Review Instructions & Scoring Matrix  
**NSF Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering, and  
Mathematics (S-STEM) 2019**

Weaknesses: [Click here to enter text.](#)

**4. Project Planning**  
Is the plan for carrying out the proposed activities well-reasoned, well-organized, and based on a sound rationale? Does the plan have a realistic schedule? Are mechanisms described to mitigate and deal with potential risks?

Strengths: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Weaknesses: [Click here to enter text.](#)

**5. Qualifications of PI, Team or Organization**  
How well qualified is the individual, team, or organization to conduct the proposed activities? Is there evidence of departmental and/or institutional support for the PI and their career development?

Strengths: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Weaknesses: [Click here to enter text.](#)

**6. Resources**  
Are there adequate resources available to the PI (either at the home organization or through collaborations) to carry out the proposed activities?

Strengths: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Weaknesses: [Click here to enter text.](#)

# Limited Submission Review Instructions & Scoring Matrix NSF Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (S-STEM) 2019

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO APPLICANT

Reviewers may provide guidance to the applicant or recommend against submission without fundamental revision.

<b>Additional Comments to Applicants (Optional)</b>
<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>

## Evaluation Scores

Criteria	Your Score
1. Potential for Advancing Knowledge	
2. Potential for Advancing Societal Outcomes	
3. Incorporation of Transformative Concepts	
4. Project Planning	
5. Qualifications of PI, Team or Organization	
6. Resources	
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	