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FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT IS ASSOCIATED WITH LONG-TERM LIFE SATISFACTION IN VETERANS WITH MTBI
The American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine (ACRM, 1993) defines mTBI as a traumatically induced physiological disruption of brain function, including the head being struck, the head striking an object, and the brain undergoing an acceleration/deceleration movement (whiplash), as manifested by at least one of the following:

1. any period of loss of consciousness
2. any loss of memory for events immediately before or after the accident
3. any alteration in mental state at the time of the accident (e.g., feeling dazed, disoriented, or confused)
4. focal neurological deficit(s) that may or may not be transient

But where the severity of the injury does not exceed the following:

- loss of consciousness of approximately 30 minutes or less
- after 30 minutes, an initial Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of 13–15
- posttraumatic amnesia (PTA) not greater than 24 hours
• Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (mTBI) the signature wound of the post 9/11 era
• MTBI suppresses:
  1. **Life satisfaction**
  2. Typical activities of life
     • ‘**Functional Impairment**’
       • Degree of impairment may *also* facilitate life satisfaction
       • Non-traditional target for treatment programs, *beyond mental health*
THE PRESENT PROJECT

Goal:

• To examine the association between functional impairment related to mTBI and life satisfaction among a sample of veterans

Hypotheses:

• Milder functional impairment is associated with higher life satisfaction

• Functional impairment is more strongly associated with life satisfaction than socio-demographics or mental health variables

• Functional impairment uniquely and substantially contributes to life satisfaction above all other covariates
METHOD
1,019 veterans with mTBI
All received outpatient treatment
- Between 2015 to 2020
- In the Polytrauma Rehabilitation Center (PRC), at the Polytrauma System of Care at the South Texas Healthcare System, housed in the Audie Murphy Memorial Hospital, under the Veterans Health Administration (VHA), San Antonio, TX
Outcomes of Interest: **Life satisfaction**

**Covariates:**
- **Socio-demographics**
- **Mental Health Distress:**
  - Disruption from affective symptoms
  - Post-traumatic stress symptoms
- **Functional impairment**

**Procedure & Analysis:** Using SPSS and Mplus . . .
- Simple linear regression: assessed **Life Satisfaction** regressed on each variable *individually*
- Stepwise multiple regression: modeled incremental and combined effects of variables on **Life Satisfaction**
RESULTS
OUTCOME VARIABLE: LIFE SATISFACTION

Satisfaction With Life Scale, **SWLS**
Possible scores range from 5 to 35; α=.87
Mean score=17.2 (SD=7.5)
The average veteran self-evaluated in the *slightly dissatisfied* range (Diener et al., 1985)
FACTORS

Variable description and simple linear relationships between each variable and SWLS
**Socio-Demographics**

**Sex**

In general **men** and **women** report similar **SWLS**, ns

Men (0) $M=17.1$ ($SD=7.5$)

Women (1) $M=18.2$ ($SD=7.8$)

**SWLS** regressed on **Sex**

$r=.045$ ns

$R^2=.002$ ns

Women reported **life satisfaction** scores on average about 1.1 points higher than their male counterparts, but **sex** was not significant in a simple linear regression.
**SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHICS**

**Age**
Mean age=39.6 years old ($SD=9.5$ years)
Age at time of assessment ranged from 22 to 78 years

**SWLS** regressed on **Age**
$r=.001$ ns
$R^2=.000$ ns
When evaluated by itself, age was not a significant predictor of **SWLS**.
Years of Service

Veterans averaged approximately 13.0 years of service (SD=7.9 years) before separating

Length of service ranged from 1 to 39 years

SWLS regressed on Years in Service

r=.180 (p<.001)

R²=.033 (3% variance in SWLS explained)

Individuals who served longer reported higher life satisfaction (mild positive)
MENTAL HEALTH DISTRESS

Neurobehavioral Symptoms Checklist Affective Subscale, NSI-Affective (NSI-Aff)

Mean score=16.8 (SD=5.4), α=.87

Average score is in the borderline clinical to clinical range (normed on service members with mTBI).

SWLS regressed on NSI-Aff

r = -.447 (p<.001)

R²=.20 (20% variance in SWLS explained)

Individuals who experienced less neuro-affective disruption reported higher life satisfaction (moderately strong inverse)
MENTAL HEALTH DISTRESS

PTSD Checklist – Military for DSM-IV, **PCL-M**
Mean score=58.4 (SD=14.8), possible score ranges from 17 to 85
The average scores are consistent with PTSD (VA National Center for PTSD, 2010)

**SWLS** regressed on **PCL-M**

\[ r = -0.440 \] (\( p < 0.001 \))

\[ R^2 = 0.193 \] (19% variance in **SWLS** explained)

Individuals who experienced fewer post traumatic symptoms reported higher life satisfaction (moderately strong inverse)
Mayo-Portland Adaptability Inventory-4 Participation Index, M2PI
Mean score=12.6 (SD=6.5), possible score ranges from 0 to 32; α=.85
Average scores were in the **moderately to severely impaired** range on scale normed for patients with ABI (Malek & Lezak, 2008)

SWLS regressed on M2PI
r= -.499 (p<.001)
R²=.249 (25% variance in SWLS explained)

**Individuals who reported milder impairments also reported higher life satisfaction (strong inverse)**
MODELS
To evaluate the incremental contribution of socio-demographic characteristics and mental health distress, as well as the unique contribution of functional impairment on life satisfaction, stepwise multiple linear regression was implemented:

1. Modeling the contribution of all socio-demographics
2. Modeling the combined contribution of socio-demographics and mental health distress
3. And a fully adjusted model, controlling for all previously modeled predictors plus functional impairment
MODEL 1: SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHICS ONLY

Accounted for 5% of the variance in SWLS

Years in service by itself explained 3% variance in SWLS

Age and years in service were significant partial model predictors

- Age, while not significant alone, was a significant predictor when factored with years in service only

Sex was not a significant model predictor
MODEL 2: SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHICS + MENTAL HEALTH DISTRESS

Accounted for 25.8% of variance

When Mental Health Distress variables were added to the model, an additional 20.8% of SWLS variance was explained

Sex became a significant predictor when it was factored with mental health distress variables

- Female veterans reported significantly higher life satisfaction than men, but only after controlling for mental health distress
MODEL 3: **SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHICS + MENTAL HEALTH DISTRESS + FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT**

- **32.2% of total variance**
  - Functional impairment is the strongest predictor of **SWLS**
  - It uniquely accounted for 6.4% variance in **SWLS**
  - Mental health became a less salient influence on **life satisfaction** with functional impairment factored
  - **Years in service** emerged as the second strongest model predictor of **life satisfaction**
DISCUSSION
After all covariates were factored, M2PI exerted the strongest, most negative influence on SWLS of any factor in the model. Among this sample of veterans, deficits in ability to live independently functional lives after neurotrauma appears to inhibit life satisfaction more than any other modeled variable.

Increasing functional autonomy alone may:

1. Improve veteran life satisfaction

**But it could also...**

2. Reduce disruption from neuro-affective distress

3. Help relieve frequency/severity of post traumatic symptoms
• **Years in service** was second strongest model predictor of life satisfaction

• More years in service = higher perceived veteran life satisfaction
  - Career goals? Identity/Self-worth? Patriotism?
  - Simply retiring after 20 years may directly contribute to positive outcomes
1. Veterans with mTBI need holistic, whole health interventional approaches that promote functional autonomy in addition to addressing mental health distress.

2. Initiatives that allow service members with mTBI to remain in service longer should be considered case-by-case.
Future Directions:

• Identify the specific functional impairments that can be ameliorated to impact on life satisfaction
  • Identify the specific impact of each functional area on life satisfaction: social networks, non-family friendships, ability to participate in recreational activities, etc…
  • Identify changes in factors over time in longitudinal study
  • Examine the influence of race/ethnicity
  • Examine the influence of sex with a more representative Male/Female ratio

Limitations:

• Participants self-selected; results may not be generalizable to other mTBI groups or other groups of veterans
  • The disproportionate male to female ratio may have limited generalizability
  • Data was cross-sectional: no causality can be established or inferred
ANY QUESTIONS???
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