1. PURPOSE
	1. This procedure establishes the process to review IRB submissions for regulatory issues.
	2. This procedure begins when an IRB submission for a review or determination has been checked by office staff.
	3. This procedure ends when the Regulatory Reviewer has completed the review or an investigator has withdrawn the submission.
2. POLICY
	1. As part of IRB review, all submissions are reviewed by a Regulatory Reviewer to:
		1. Identify submissions with missing materials
		2. Identify and document the determinations that the IRB needs to make in order to approve research. (For example. waiver of consent, children, prisoners)
		3. Identify, make, and document regulatory determinations that the institution needs to make in order to approve research (For example, IND/IDE requirements)
		4. Identify any relevant local, state, or international requirements
		5. Arrange for consultation to resolve local, state, or international requirements.
		6. Identify other special review issues.
		7. Determine the likely level of review (Committee Review versus Non-committee Review)
	2. The Regulatory Reviewer documents Regulatory Review findings on “FORM: Regulatory Review (HRP-210)” or equivalent.
	3. The Meeting Chair ensures that issues raised by Regulatory Review are covered at meetings.
	4. The addition of a site to a previously approved study is considered a modification to previously approved research.
	5. Changes to study personnel are not considered a modification to previously approved research when the study personnel meet the qualifications described in the IRB approved study.
3. RESPONSIBILITY
	1. Regulatory Reviewers carry out these procedures.
4. PROCEDURE
	1. If the submission is limited to an updated list of study personnel, follow “SOP: Post-Review (HRP-111)” to notify the submitter and take no further action.
	2. If the submission is a request to transfer the study to another IRB, transfer the study and follow “SOP: Post-Review (HRP-111)” to notify the investigator.
	3. If the investigator is Restricted and the submission satisfies all outstanding delinquent submissions, remove the investigator’s Restricted status.
	4. If the investigator is Restricted and the submission is an initial submission, notify the submission contact of IRB policy to disapprove those submissions:
		1. If the submission contact wants to address the Restricted status, have the contact withdraw the submission and resubmit when complete.
		2. If the submission contact does not want to address the Restricted status, note this and continue processing.
	5. If the submission is a response to a decision to conditionally approve research:
		1. Evaluate whether the submitter made the required modifications.
		2. If the submitter made the required modifications and no others, follow “SOP: Post-Review (HRP-111)” to issue an approval. Otherwise, process as a modification.
	6. Determine whether the submission is initial, continuing, or modification. If both continuing and modification, follow both procedures.
		1. For initial submission:
			1. Use “WORKSHEET: Regulatory Review (HRP-420).”
			2. Document any Regulatory Review findings.
		2. For a modification submission:
			1. Review the Regulatory Review findings associated with prior approval(s).
			2. Use “WORKSHEET: Regulatory Review (HRP-420).”
			3. Update Regulatory Review findings as needed.
			4. Determine whether the submission includes information that might represent an Unanticipated Problem Involving Risks to Subjects or Others, Serious Noncompliance, Continuing Noncompliance, Suspension of IRB Approval, or Termination of IRB Approval.
				1. If so, additionally process under “SOP: New Information (HRP-112).”
		3. For continuing submission:
			1. If the submission meets “WORKSHEET: Closure Criteria (HRP-413)”, close the study and follow “SOP: Post-Review (HRP-111)” to notify the investigator.
			2. Review the Regulatory Review findings associated with prior approval(s).
			3. Use “WORKSHEET: Regulatory Review (HRP-420).”
			4. Update Regulatory Review findings as needed.
			5. Determine whether the submission includes information that might represent an Unanticipated Problem Involving Risks to Subjects or Others, Serious Noncompliance, Continuing Noncompliance, Suspension of IRB Approval, or Termination of IRB Approval.
				1. If so, additionally process under “SOP: New Information (HRP-112).”
	7. Identify any relevant local, state, or international requirements related to human research.
		1. Arrange for consultation, if needed to resolve local, state, or international regulatory issues.
	8. Communicate with the submission contact for any potentially resolvable contingencies.
		1. If the submission contact wants to address the contingencies, have the contact withdraw the submission and resubmit when complete.
		2. If the submission contact does not want to address the contingencies, note this and continue processing.
	9. Determine whether the likely level of review is Non-Committee Review or Committee Review and route appropriately.
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